



(19) Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number: 0 322 130 A3

(12) EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number: 88311435.7

(51) Int. Cl. 5: G06F 15/40

(22) Date of filing: 02.12.88

(30) Priority: 23.12.87 US 138231

(43) Date of publication of application:
28.06.89 Bulletin 89/26

(84) Designated Contracting States:
BE CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL SE

(86) Date of deferred publication of the search report:
11.03.92 Bulletin 92/11

(71) Applicant: International Business Machines Corporation
Old Orchard Road
Armonk, N.Y. 10504(US)

(72) Inventor: MacPhail, Margaret Gardner
2105 Tower Drive
Austin Texas 78703(US)

(74) Representative: Bailey, Geoffrey Alan
IBM United Kingdom Limited Intellectual
Property Department Hursley Park
Winchester Hampshire SO21 2JN(GB)

(54) A method of operating an electronic information processing system to manage the retention of documents therein.

(57) A method of operating an electronic information processing system manages the retention and deletion of data, in the form of documents, in the system. Expiration dates relating to a document are associated with a document label for the document, which identifies a business use classification of the document, and an ownership label, which classifies the document with respect to its end user owner. The document is removed from the system only when a predetermined relationship exists between a current date and the two expiration dates.




FIG. 2



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 88 31 1435

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4)						
X	RESEARCH DISCLOSURE 10 July 1986, 13-14 HOMEWELL,HANTS.,P09 1EF, U.K. INDUSTRIAL OPPORTUNITIES LTD.: 'Retention management program purging documents' * the whole document *	1-4	G06F15/40						
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 8, no. 97 (P-272)8 May 1984 & JP-A-59 009 763 (CANON K.K.) 19 January 1984 * abstract *	1-4							
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 10, no. 206 (P-478)18 July 1986 & JP-A-61 046 554 (FUJITSU LTD.) 6 March 1986 * abstract *	1,3							

TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)									
G06F									
<p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Place of search</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Date of completion of the search</td> <td style="width: 34%;">Examiner</td> </tr> <tr> <td>THE HAGUE</td> <td>07 JANUARY 1992</td> <td>KATERBAU R.E.</td> </tr> </table>				Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	THE HAGUE	07 JANUARY 1992	KATERBAU R.E.
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner							
THE HAGUE	07 JANUARY 1992	KATERBAU R.E.							
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document							



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets

(11) Publication number:

0 322 130
A2

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number: 88311435.7

(51) Int. Cl. 4: G06F 15/40

(22) Date of filing: 02.12.88

(30) Priority: 23.12.87 US 138231

(43) Date of publication of application:
28.06.89 Bulletin 89/26

(84) Designated Contracting States:
BE CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL SE

(71) Applicant: International Business Machines Corporation
Old Orchard Road
Armonk, N.Y. 10504(US)

(72) Inventor: MacPhail, Margaret Gardner
2105 Tower Drive
Austin Texas 78703(US)

(74) Representative: Hawkins, Anthony George
Frederick
IBM United Kingdom Limited Intellectual
Property Department Hursley Park
Winchester Hampshire SO21 2JN(GB)

(54) A method of operating an electronic information processing system to manage the retention of documents therein.

(57) A method of operating an electronic information processing system manages the retention and deletion of data, in the form of documents, in the system. Expiration dates relating to a document are associated with a document label for the document, which identifies a business use classification of the document, and an ownership label, which classifies the document with respect to its end user owner. The document is removed from the system only when a predetermined relationship exists between a current date and the two expiration dates.




FIG. 2

EP 0 322 130 A2

A METHOD OF OPERATING AN ELECTRONIC INFORMATION PROCESSING SYSTEM TO MANAGE THE
RETENTION OF DOCUMENTS THEREIN

DOCUMENTS

This invention relates in general to storage of electronic documents in an electronic information handling system and in particular to a method of automatically managing the retention and deletion of documents stored in the system.

The prior art has disclosed various interactive information handling systems which store electronic documents. These systems vary in complexity and sophistication from the very simple personal computer employed in the home for writing letters to the very large main frame data processing systems in which thousands of users nodes interact with each other and with a centralized library or file of information. An example of a sophisticated system that is currently in use by several large governmental agencies and private corporations is the system referred to as PROFS that is marketed by the IBM Corporation. These types of system store and manage millions of documents ranging from one page memos and messages to multi-page reports that might involve several printed volumes. In many of these systems, the need for hard copy no longer exists, so the only copy of the information is the originally generated electronic copy.

The management and control of the paper flow in any large enterprise, be it a governmental agency or a private corporation, requires a set of procedures which define how various types of communications or documents are to be handled. This is a necessity in both manually implemented systems which deal in nothing but hard copy as well as electronically implemented systems that deal only with electronic copies. It is generally recognized by both types of systems, that there are two basic document control strategies that must be enforced in order to have an effective and efficient system. The first is that documents that are no longer needed should be deleted from the system as soon as possible and the second is that a document that is required should be maintained as long as it is needed by the document owner or the enterprise.

It is obvious that in implementing these two basic concepts it is not always possible to obtain an agreement, much less a consensus, on such items as to who makes the decision to keep or delete a document, does this decision apply to all documents types or does it change with the type of document, can there be exceptions and changes in the criteria, who determines the time period for document types, etc.

The prior art systems that involve a large number of users who create electronic documents that are stored in the system at a logically centralized location, do generally impose a number of structured formatting constraints on the manner by which documents are identified in the system. In most systems, some type of manual document classification system is established, either on a formal basis or a de facto basis. A bank might use a functional business classification for documents. For example a customer's loan folder might include a "loan application", a "credit history", a "payment history" etc. These various documents have different storage requirements and there is generally no provision made to permit any deviations from the established retention criteria. In prior art systems a search is performed for a term in a plurality of documents and documents are deleted based thereon. The form of document management may result in the deletion of documents which should be retained. The search term may have a different meaning within the various documents. Thus, the deletion will result in errors and possible omissions is a document which should be deleted does not contain the search term.

A batch type of approach to document retention where the date to delete the document is controlled by the enterprise creates a problem when the date has to be changed. If the date is shortened, there is undoubtedly some persons who are relying on the fact that the document is to be available up to the previously established date. How does the system administrator evaluate whether these documents can be destroyed. If the documents are deleted there is some direct consequence to those persons who were relying on the document for their work. The more serious consequence however, is a loss of integrity of the system which soon results in users operating their own backup document storage system.

The present invention is directed to an improved method for use in an interactive information handling system in which the retention and deletion of documents is managed in a manner to avoid problems of the prior art document storage systems. The method allows the business use of a document by an owner of the document to be tied to the document retention and ownership retention.

According to the invention, there is provided a method of operating an electronic information system storing data in the form of documents, in which documents are assigned expiration dates, some of which relate to a single document, said method controlling the retention and deletion of documents

in the system and comprising the steps of storing in said system first and second expiration dates selected in accordance with different criteria; periodically comparing the first and second dates with a current date; and deleting a document corresponding to one of the first and second dates when a predetermined relationship exists among the dates that reflects said criteria.

An embodiment of the invention will now be described by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of an information handling system in which the method of the present invention may be advantageously employed.

Fig. 2 is a block diagram of one of the interactive terminals employed by a user in the system of Fig. 1;

Figs. 3a and 3b illustrate an overview of the document generation and storage process;

Figs. 4a, 4b and 4c illustrate screens for the main action menu, the sub-action menu for a document, and the select action for document menu, referred to in Fig. 3b;

Fig. 5 is a screen which prompts an end user involved in the step of entering the retention and deletion criteria for each document into the system; and

Figs. 6a and 6b show a flow chart setting forth the steps involved in the automatic deletion of documents from the system shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 illustrates an information handling system comprising network of interactive type terminals or workstations of the type shown in detail in Fig. 2. As illustrated, the network includes a plurality of terminals which are interconnected with each other and to a host central processing unit 23. As shown in Fig. 1 host 23 in turn is connected via communication link 24 to a second host processing unit 25, which also connects to another network 26 of interactive-workstations. Functionally, the system operates to allow each terminal to communicate with the host and to one or more other terminals using established communication protocols, so that the various serially connected communication links are transparent to the users. A user at one terminal can generate a document such as a letter and send that letter to the addressee at a designated node on the network. The user can then store the document in the system at some logically central system location. Each user of the system has the theoretical capability of retrieving that document at a later time. Such systems are well known in the art, and are currently in extensive commercial use. Since the general organization and operations of such systems is well known, only those details that are necessary for an understanding of the docu-

ment storage method of the present invention will be described. It should therefore be assumed in the following description, that each workstation on the network has a system node address and a "post office" address, and that to simplify the description, there is only one individual assigned to each node on the network. It should further be assumed that conventional communication services are provided by the system, such as directory listings of individual users or owners etc. It may also be assumed that the system shown in Fig. 1 processes information as various types of data objects such as text data objects, graphic data objects, and calendar data objects etc..

Fig. 2 illustrates the functional components of one of the interactive type data processing terminals shown in Fig. 1. The terminal comprises a processing unit 31 which includes a microprocessor block 32, a semiconductor memory 33, and a control block 34 which functions to control input/output operations in addition to the interaction between the micro processor block 32 and the memory unit 33.

The terminal further includes a group of conventional peripheral units including a display device 36, a keyboard 37, a printer 38, a disk storage unit 39, and a modem 40. Since the details of the above described functional blocks form no part of the present invention and can be found in the prior art, only a brief functional description of each block is set forth, along with a description of their interactions, sufficient to provide a person of ordinary skill in the art with a basis of understanding applicants' improved electronic document storage method.

Processing unit 31 corresponds for example to the "system unit" of a personal computer system such as the IBM XT, IBM AT or an IBM PS/2 system. Unit 31 is provided with an operating system program which may be one of the many versions of DOS (Disk Operating System) which is normally employed to run the systems. The operating system program is stored in memory 33 along with one or more application programs that the user has selected to run. Depending on the capacity of memory 33 and the size of the application programs, portions of these programs, as needed, may be transferred to memory 33 from the disk storage unit 39 which may include, for example, a 30 megabyte hard disk drive and a diskette drive. The basic function of the disk storage unit is to store programs and data that are employed by the system and which may readily be transferred to the memory unit 33 when needed. The function of the diskette drive is to provide a removable storage function for entering programs and data into the system, and a vehicle for storing data in a form that is readily transportable for use on other termi-

nals or systems.

Display device 36 and keyboard 37 together provide for the interactive nature of the terminal, in that in normal operation, the interpretation that the system gives to a specific keystroke by the operator depends, in substantially all situations, on what is being displayed to the operator at that point in time.

In some situations, the operator, by entering commands into the system, causes the system to perform a certain function. In other situations, the system requests the entry of certain data, generally by displaying a prompt type of menu/message screen. The depth of the interaction between the operator and the system varies by the type of operating system and the application program, but is a necessary characteristic of terminals on which the method of the present invention may be employed.

The terminal shown in Fig. 2 further includes a printer 38, which functions to provide hard copy output of data developed or stored in the system at some central location. Lastly, the modem 40 functions to transfer data from the terminal of Fig. 2 to a host system through one or more communication links which may be a commercial type link or a dedicated communication link.

Fig. 3a represents an overview of the system from the standpoint of the major interactive steps involved in storing a document that has been created by an End User (EU). Block 50 represents the EU. Blocks 51 and 52 represent programs stored at the terminal while block 53 represents local disk storage. Blocks 54 and 55 represent the library server function located at the host and is the central depository for stored system documents. The program represented by block 51 is referred to as the Dialogue Manager application and functions to provide the necessary menus and prompts to obtain information from the user. It is essentially the interface to the user from the terminal. The program represented by block 52 is referred to as the requester application and functions to build requests and send them to the library server. The function of the library server is to validate/set labels and expiration dates and to file the document. The flow chart of Fig 3b shows the major steps of first creating a document; second selecting the sub-action menu in order to set the document descriptors; thirdly setting the actual descriptors in the set document descriptor menu; and lastly filing the document.

The main action menu is shown in Fig. 4a. As shown, the menu illustrates three separate actions that the EU can designate. The screen cursor is represented by an X in Fig. 4a and as illustrated is adjacent the "Create a Document" action. The action is selected in the normal manner by position-

ing the cursor adjacent the line on the menu and hitting the "enter" key. The system responds with a series of prompts which allows the user to create a document. For purposes of this description it can be assumed that the document is sent to another EU on the system and the author now wants to store the document.

In order to store the document, descriptors must be assigned which assists in controlling the retention of the document up to a specified time and automatic deletion of the document after that time, in accordance with some predetermined strategy. The sub-action menu shown in Fig 4b. includes an option to "SET DESCRIPTORS" which is selected to enter the criteria that will be used to manage the retention and automatic deletion of documents from the system. When this option is selected the screen shown in Fig. 5 is displayed to the EU. The end user responds to the various prompts displayed in Fig. 5 by entering a name for the document label and a name for the ownership label. It should be assumed for purpose of this discussion that the respective names have been preestablished which is not necessarily a requirement of the system, but does simplify the description of the present invention. The expiration dates for the document and the ownership may be provided in a number of different ways. As indicated on the screen of Fig. 5, a list of allowable values can be obtained by placing the cursor under the appropriate field and pressing a predesignated key such as the "HELP" key. The allowable values which have been preestablished then appears in a "window" on a separate screen which permits the user to copy or just select the choice by positioning the cursor and pressing the "ENTER" key. In the alternate a date can be inserted automatically based on the user's name, and the labels which have been entered providing an index into a stored table of approved dates. The document name and subject may be optional entries and not required for the method of the present invention.

After all the data is entered and displayed in the screen of Fig. 5 , the end user presses the ENTER key and is returned to the sub-action menu of Fig. 4b. The "FILE" i.e. file document option is selected by positioning the cursor and again pressing the ENTER key. The newly created document along with the labels and expiration dates are then stored in the system by the library server.

The flow chart shown in Fig. 6 illustrates the steps involved by the system in automatically controlling the retention and deletion of documents in the system. The strategy that is implemented recognizes that it is important to insure that documents be removed from the system as soon as possible after they have been deemed no longer useful as indicated by their expiration date. A docu-

ment that contains outdated information can often be more dangerous than not having a copy of the document. It is also equally as important not to destroy any document before its expiration date as determined by the document owner. The method therefore follows a relatively simple algorithm which compares a current date entered into the system by the system administrator against the ownership expiration date and the document expiration date that was established by the enterprise. The logic of the algorithm is as follows.

If the current date is less than both expiration dates, retain the document.

If the current date is greater than both expiration dates, delete the document.

If the current date is greater than the ownership expiration date but less than the enterprise expiration date, retain the document.

If the current date is greater than the enterprise expiration date but less than the ownership expiration date, retain the document and transfer ownership to either the system administrator or another designated end user.

The particular sequence of steps shown in the flow chart of Figs. 6a and 6b is one implementation of the document retention and deletion strategy that is set forth above. The flow chart is at a level of detail that requires no additional explanation.

Claims

1. A method of operating an electronic information system storing data in the form of documents, in which documents are assigned expiration dates, some of which relate to a single document, said method controlling the retention and deletion of documents in the system and comprising the steps of:

storing in said system first and second expiration dates selected in accordance with different criteria; periodically comparing the first and second dates with a current date; and

deleting a document corresponding to one of the first and second dates when a predetermined relationship exists among the dates that reflects said criteria.

2. A method according to claim 1, in which said first date is based on the requirements of the owner of the document to retain the document in the system, and the second date is based on the requirements of the system to retain the document.

3. A method according to claim 2 including the step of:

generating for display to the owner of a document a block of information having related areas on a display screen for presenting said expiration dates as they are entered into the system.

4. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 3 including the steps of:

establishing a set of document descriptors related to a document in, or to be entered into, the system; assigning a document ownership date to the document based on the descriptors; and assigning ownership of the document to an end user of the system until the ownership date expires to ensure the document is retained in the system until the ownership date.

5

10

15

20

25

30



35





40

45

50

55

FIG. 1FIG. 2

**FIG 3b****FIG 4a****FIG. 4b**

SELECT ACTION FOR DOCUMENT	
	COPY
	UPDATE CONTENT
	SET DESCRIPTORS
x	FILE
	MAIL
	DELETE

FIG. 4c

SET DESCRIPTORS FOR DOCUMENT	
DOCUMENT NAME:	
SUBJECT:	
DOCUMENT LABEL:	MEMO
DOCUMENT EXPIRATION DATE:	12/10/87
OWNERSHIP LABEL:	<u>PERSONAL MEMO</u>
OWNERSHIP EXPIRATION DATE:	10/10/87
NOTE:	TO SEE A LIST OF ALLOWABLE VALUES, PLACE THE CURSOR UNDER THE FIELD AND PRESS HELP
TO EXIT THIS MENU, PRESS ENTER.	

FIG. 5




FIG. 6

This Page Blank (uspto)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

This Page Blank (uspto)